

5 March 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Legislative Counsel - Payroll Allotment Legislation

1. S. 1084 and H.R. 6157 were introduced on 27 February 1967. They were ordered distributed to Personnel, the Credit Union, Finance, and the DD/S. Each formal legislative process change (reported out bill, Act form, and related committee reports) would, under the system, also be distributed to these parties.

2. On 11 October 1967 the Senate passed S. 1084 and the extract from the Congressional Record covering that passage was sent by OLC to the Credit Union, [ ] and Finance Division.

3. On 6 November 1967 H.R. 6157 was reported out of Committee. The reported out bill as well as the Senate passed S. 1084 were the subject of a note to our legislation contact in the DD/S calling attention to the further progress of the bills, indicating that enactment was possible, and suggesting that advanced payroll planning may be required. See Tab A.

4. On 5 February 1968, following House passage of H.R. 6157, OLC distributed a Memorandum for the Record to our legislation contact in DD/S, Finance, Credit Union, and [ ] indicating that the bills grant a "right" to Federal employees, pointing out the essential differences between the two bills and drawing attention to a colloquy on the House floor where concern with the capacity of computers to handle the various allotment plans were summarily dismissed by Chairman Patman. See Tab B.

5. In the weekly discussion of legislative business with Admiral Taylor and Colonel White on 16 October 1967 they were acquainted with the nature of the legislation, on 29 January 1968 its progress, and on 12 February 1968 a further status report.

6. Apparently following one of these briefings Colonel White addressed a note to the DD/S on the legislation concerning its effective implementation. Increased interest resulted and copies of the bills and pertinent extracts of the Congressional Record were again supplied to the DD/S staff and its components.

STATINTL

7. Subsequently, [ ] of the DD/S staff, showed me a memorandum from Finance Division to the DD/S that payroll allotments to the Agency Credit Union would be no problem, but that there might be a conflicting Federal employee right depending upon which bill was ultimately approved by the Conference Committee. (OLC Memorandum for the Record dated 9 February 1968 was cited.)

STATINTL

[ ] indicated that Security and Cover were also concerned. I discussed this with Mr. Warner and recommended that [ ] refer this to the DD/S for a determination and appropriate recommendation to Colonel White. In view of the status of the bills I said this should be done immediately. I also suggested that one additional element of staffing out the problem would be to request the General Counsel's advice on whether the statutory responsibility of the DCI to protect intelligence sources and methods and the identity of Agency employees would prevail over the "right" granted under the legislation, thus obviating the need for any specific exception for the Agency.

STATINTL

8. This date, in the absence of [ ] who is out ill and [ ] who was unavailable, I spoke briefly with Messrs. [ ] They said the general matter had been discussed with the DD/S with the general outcome that any problem could be resolved administratively.

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2002/08/01 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000400070026-2

9. The House has passed H.R. 6157 and the Senate has passed S. 1084. However, the differences between these bills is not before Conference Committee for resolution. This is because the Senate passed bill was referred to the Government Operations Committee rather than the Banking and Currency Committee which reported out H.R. 6157. The House passed bill is now on the calendar of the Senate Banking and Currency Committee.

  
Office of Legislative Counsel

STATINTL

Distribution:

Orig. - Subj.  
1 - Chrono  
1 - Signer's

OLC/LLM:rw (6 March 1968)

Approved For Release 2002/08/01 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000100070026-2

5 MAR 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Plans  
Deputy Director of Support  
Director of Security  
General Counsel

SUBJECT: S. 2778 - Central Security Office

1. The attached bill has been sent previously to each addressee. It is the consensus that the bill as written does not fully exempt the Agency and that it could cause us serious problems. In order to exempt the Agency from the objectionable provisions of the bill, it is proposed that on page 3, line 15, the words 'except the Central Intelligence Agency and the National Security Agency' be added, and that similar wording be deleted from page 4, lines 7 through 9.

2. As you will note, the words "executive agency" appear in each of the objectionable provisions. If you agree with these proposed amendments, will you please note your concurrence.

SIGNED

JOHN S. WARNER  
Legislative Counsel

CONCUR:

[Redacted Signature]

/s/ T.H. Karamessinos  
Deputy Director of Plans

~~12 March 1968~~  
Date

/s/ R.L. Bannerman  
Deputy Director of Support

~~8 March 1968~~  
Date

[Redacted Signature]

A/ Director of Security

~~8 March 1968~~  
Date

STATINTL /s/ [Redacted Signature] Approved For Release 2002/08/01 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000100070026-2  
for/ General Counsel 13 March 1968  
Date

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2002/08/01 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000100070026-2

Distribution:

Orig. - Subject

1 - DD/P

1 - DD/S

1 - D/Security

1 - OGC

1 - Chrono

OLC/CEA:rw (4 March 1968)

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2002/08/01 : CIA-RDP71B00364R000100070026-2